

Quasi-ideals and Bi-ideals of a Right Ternary Near-ring

A. Uma Maheswari, C. Meera

Abstract— Right ternary near-rings (RTNR) are generalization of their binary counterpart. The authors in their earlier papers have defined right ternary near-rings, zero-symmetric right ternary near-rings and prime ideals. In this paper N- subgroups, quasi-ideals and bi-ideals of an RTNR are defined and their basic characteristics are studied. It is established that in a zero-symmetric RTNR every quasi-ideal is a bi-ideal and in a regular zero-symmetric RTNR, a bi-ideal is a right ternary subnear-ring. A characterization theorem for left regular zero-symmetric RTNR in terms of completely semi-prime left N-subgroups is given.

Index Terms— Right ternary subnear-ring, zero-symmetric RTNR, right, lateral, left ideals, completely semi-prime ideal.

1 INTRODUCTION

THE notion of ternary algebraic system was introduced by Lehmer [3] in 1932. Ternary semigroups [5, 4, 7], ternary semirings [6] are some of the algebraic structures which involve ternary product. To deal with the concept of near-rings using ternary product Warud Nakkhasen and Bundit Pibaljommee [11] have applied the notion of ternary semiring to define left ternary near-rings, ternary subnear-rings and their ideals. The authors [8, 9] have defined in their earlier works right ternary near-rings, zero-symmetric right ternary near-rings and prime ideals.

In 1987, Chelvam and Ganesan [2] introduced and generalized the notion of quasi-ideals of near-rings which was introduced by Yakabe [10] in 1983 to bi-ideals. The regular near-ring was introduced by Beidleman [1]. In 1989, Yakabe characterized regular zero-symmetric near-rings without nonzero nilpotent elements in terms of quasi-ideals.

In this paper N- subgroups, quasi-ideals and bi-ideals of a binary right near-ring is generalized to right ternary near-ring using the concept of ternary semirings. It is established that every N-subgroup (ideal) is a quasi-ideal and every quasi-ideal is a bi-ideal in a zero-symmetric RTNR. It is also established that in a regular zero-symmetric RTNR, a bi-ideal is a right ternary subnear-ring.

Left regular zero-symmetric RTNR are characterized in terms of completely semi-prime left N-subgroups. A characterisation theorem for a regular, idempotent and distributive zero-symmetric RTNR is also given.

2 PRELIMINARIES

In this section we give the basic definitions that are necessary for the following sections of this paper.

- A. Uma Maheswari Department of Mathematics, Quaid-E-Millath Government College for Women (Autonomous), Chennai - 600 002, Tamil Nadu, India, E-mail: umashiva2000@yahoo.com
- C. Meera, Department of Mathematics, Bharathi Women's College (Autonomous), Chennai - 600 108, Tamil Nadu, India, E-mail: eja65@rediffmail.com

Definition 2.1[5] Let N be a non-empty set and $[]$ be an operation defined from $N \times N \times N$ to N called a ternary operation. Then $(N, [])$ is a *ternary semigroup* if for every $x, y, z, u, v \in N$, $[[xyz]uv] = [x[yzu]v] = [xy[zuv]] = [xyzuv]$.

Definition 2.2[5] Let A, B and C be non-empty subsets of a ternary semigroup N . Then $[ABC] = \{[abc] \in N \mid a \in A, b \in B, c \in C\}$.

Definition 2.3[8] Let N be a non-empty set together with a binary operation $+$ and a ternary operation $[]: N \times N \times N \rightarrow N$. Then $(N, +, [])$ is a *right ternary near-ring* (a right ternary near ring is written as RTNR) if

(RTNR-1) $(N, +)$ is a group (not necessarily abelian).

(RTNR-2) $(N, [])$ is a ternary semigroup.

(RTNR-3) $[(a + b) c d] = [a c d] + [b c d]$, for every a, b, c, d in N .

Similarly we can define *left ternary near-ring* and *lateral ternary near ring*.

Definition 2.4 [11] A non-empty subset S of a right ternary near-ring is called a *right ternary subnear-ring* (RTSNR) if (i) $x - y \in S$ if $x, y \in S$ (ii) $[SSS] \subseteq S$.

Definition 2.5[11] Let N and N' be any two right ternary near rings. Then a mapping $h: N \rightarrow N'$ is called a *right ternary near ring homomorphism* if (i) $h(x + y) = h(x) + h(y)$, (ii) $h([x y z]) = [h(x) h(y) h(z)]$, for every $x, y, z \in N$.

Definition 2.6[8] Let N be a right ternary near-ring. Let J be a normal subgroup $(N, +)$. Then J is called (i) a *right ideal* of N if $[J N N] \subseteq J$ (ii) a *left ideal* if $[t t' (t'' + i)] - [t t' t''] \in J$ (iii) a *lateral ideal* if $[t (t' + i) t''] - [t t' t''] \in J$ where $t, t', t'' \in N, i \in J$.

J is an *ideal* of N if it is a right, lateral and left ideal of N .

Definition 2.7 [9] If N is an RTNR then

$$(N_0)_R = \{n \in N \mid [0 n n'] = 0, \forall n' \in N\},$$

$$(N_0)_M = \{n \in N \mid [n 0 n'] = 0, \forall n' \in N\},$$

$$(N_0)_L = \{n \in N \mid [n n' 0] = 0, \forall n' \in N\}$$

are called *right zero-symmetric part*, *lateral zero-symmetric part* and *left zero-symmetric part* of N respectively and

$N_0 = \{n \in N \mid [n \ 0 \ 0] = 0\}$ is the zero-symmetric part of N .
If $N = N_0$ then N is called a zero-symmetric RTNR.

Note 2.8 If N is an RTNR then

(i) $(N_0)_R = N$ and $N_0 \subseteq N$.

(ii) $(N_0)_M = N_0$.

For if $n \in N_0$ then $[n00] = 0$ and therefore $[n0n'] = [n [000] n'] = [n0 [00n']] = [n00] = 0$ and hence $N_0 \subseteq (N_0)_M$. Obviously

$(N_0)_M \subseteq N_0$. Thus $(N_0)_M = N_0$

(iii) $(N_0)_L \subseteq N_0$.

Definition 2.9 [9] An ideal J of N is a completely semi-prime ideal if $x^3 \in J \Rightarrow x \in J$.

3 N-SUBGROUPS

In this section N -subgroups and trio- RTNR which is an RTNR in which each one-sided N -subgroup is an N -subgroup are defined and a trio-RTNR is established as a zero-symmetric RTNR.

Definition 3.1A non-empty subset H of N is called an N -subgroup of N if (i) H is a subgroup of $(R, +)$ (ii) $[NNH] \subseteq H$ (iii) $[NHN] \subseteq H$ (iv) $[HNN] \subseteq H$.

If (i) and (ii) hold then H is called a left N -subgroup. If (i) and (iii) hold then H is called a lateral N -subgroup. If (i) and (iv) hold then H is called a right N -subgroup.

Obviously if H is an N -subgroup of N then $[HHN] \subseteq H$, $[NHH] \subseteq H$, $[HNN] \subseteq H$ and every N -subgroup is a right ternary subnear-ring.

Example 3.2 Let $N = \{0, x, y, z\}$. Define $+$ as in Table (i) and $[]$ on N by $[x \ y \ z] = (x.y).z$ for every $x, y, z \in N$ where $.$ is defined as in Table (ii). Then $(N, +, [])$ is a right ternary near-ring and $\{0, x\}$ is an N -subgroup of N . $\{0, y\}$ is only a left N -subgroup as $[yxz] = x \notin \{0, y\}$ and $[zyx] = x \notin \{0, y\}$. Also $\{0, z\}$ is not a right N -subgroup as $[zxx] = x \notin \{0, z\}$ and not a left or lateral N -subgroups as $[xzz] = x \notin \{0, z\}$.

+	0	x	y	z	.	0	x	y	z
0	0	x	y	z	0	0	0	0	0
x	x	0	z	y	x	0	0	0	x
y	y	z	0	x	y	0	x	y	y
z	z	y	x	0	z	0	x	y	z

Table (i)

Table (ii)

Definition 3.3 If N is an RTNR and Q is a subset of N then the subsets N^*Q^*N and N^*N^*Q of N are defined as

$N^*Q^*N = \{[x \ y \ + \ i \ z] - [x \ y \ z] \in N \mid x, y, z \in N \text{ and } i \in Q\}$ and $N^*N^*Q = \{[x \ y \ z \ + \ i] - [x \ y \ z] \in N \mid x, y, z \in N \text{ and } i \in Q\}$.

Proposition 3.4 In a zero-symmetric right ternary near-ring, $[NNQ] \subseteq N^*N^*Q$ and $[NQN] \subseteq N^*Q^*N$.

Proof: Let $x, y \in N$ and $z \in Q$. Then $[xyz] = [xyz] + 0 = [x \ y \ 0+z] - [x \ y \ 0]$, as N is zero-symmetric and obviously R.H.S is in N^*N^*Q and hence $[NNQ] \subseteq N^*N^*Q$. Similarly $[NQN] \subseteq N^*Q^*N$.

Remark 3.5 In a zero-symmetric right ternary near-ring every right, lateral, left ideal is respectively a right, lateral and left N -subgroup of N .

Proposition 3.6 If N is an RTNR then $(N_0)_L$ is a right N -subgroup of N .

Proof: If $H = (N_0)_L$ then for $x, y \in H$, $[x-y \ z \ 0] = [xz0] - [yz0] = 0$ and hence $x-y \in H$. Now let $u \in [HNN]$. Then $u = [h \ n \ n']$. Consider $[u \ r \ 0] = [[h \ n \ n'] \ r \ 0] = [h \ [n \ n'r] \ 0] = [h \ n'' \ 0] = 0$ as $h \in H$. Thus $u \in H$ and hence $(N_0)_L$ is a right N -subgroup of N .

Remark 3.7 Let $N = \{0, x, y, z\}$ and $+$ on N be defined as in Table (iii) and $[]$ be defined as $[xyz] = (x.y).z$ where $.$ is defined as in Table (iv). Then $(N, +, [])$ is a right ternary near-ring.

It can be easily seen that $(N_0)_L = \{0\}$, right N -subgroup and is not a left or lateral N -subgroup. Also $(N_0)_M$ is not an N -subgroup. Since $(N_0)_M = \{0, y\}$ as $[yxz] = x \notin \{0, y\}$, $[xyz] = x \notin \{0, y\}$ and $[xzy] = x \notin \{0, y\}$

+	0	x	y	z	.	0	x	y	z
0	0	x	y	z	0	0	0	0	0
x	x	0	z	y	x	x	x	x	x
y	y	z	0	x	y	0	x	y	z
z	z	y	x	0	z	x	0	z	y

Table (iii)

Table (iv)

Definition 3.8 An RTNR N is called a trio- RTNR if every one-sided N -subgroup is an N -subgroup of N .

If a left (resp.lateral,right) N -subgroup is an N -subgroup then N is called a left (resp.lateral,right) trio-RTNR.

Example 3.9 Let $N = \{0, x, y, z\}$ and let $+$ on N be defined as in Table (v) and $[]$ on N by $[x \ y \ z] = (x.y).z$ for every $x, y, z \in N$ where $.$ is defined as in Table (vi). Then $(N, +, [])$ is a trio-RTNR.

+	0	x	y	z	.	0	x	y	z
0	0	x	y	z	0	0	0	0	0
x	x	0	z	y	x	0	x	0	0
y	y	z	0	x	y	0	0	y	0
z	z	y	x	0	z	0	0	0	z

Table (v)

Table (vi)

In Example 3.2, N is not a trio-RTNR as $\{0, y\}$ is only a left N -subgroup but is not an N -subgroup of N .

Lemma 3.10 Every trio- RTNR is a zero-symmetric RTNR.

Proof: Let N be a trio- RTNR. Since $\{0\}$ is a right N -subgroup and N is a trio-RTNR, $\{0\}$ is a left and lateral N -subgroup and hence $[xy0] = 0$ and $[x0y] = 0$, for every $x, y \in N$. Hence by Note 2.8, N is a zero-symmetric RTNR.

Remark 3.11 The converse of the above lemma in general is not true. For, in Example 3.2, N is a zero-symmetric RTNR but $\{0, y\}$ is a left N -subgroup and is not a right, lateral N -subgroup of N .

Definition 3.12 If N is an RTNR then the set of all *distributive elements* of N is defined as $N_d = \{x \in N \mid [xy(n+n')] = [xyn] + [xyn'] \text{ and } [x(n+n')y] = [xny] + [xn'y] \text{ for all } n, n', y \in N\}$.
If $N = N_d$ then N is called a *distributive RTNR*.

Example 3.13 (i) Let $N = \{0, x, y, z\}$ and $+$ on N be defined as in Table(vii) and $[]$ be defined as $[xyz] = (x.y).z$ where $.$ is defined as in Table (viii). Then $(N, +, [])$ is a distributive right ternary near-ring.

+	0	x	y	z
0	0	x	y	z
x	x	0	z	y
y	y	z	0	x
z	z	y	x	0

.	0	x	y	z
0	0	0	0	0
x	0	x	0	x
y	0	0	0	0
z	0	x	0	x

Table (vii)

Table (viii)

(ii) Let $N = \{0, x, y, z\}$ and $+$ on N be defined as in Table (ix) and $[]$ on N by $[xyz] = (x.y).z$ for every $x, y, z \in N$ where $.$ is defined as in Table (x). Then $(N, +, [])$ is *not* a distributive right ternary near-ring as $[xx(x+y)] = [xxz] = 0$ and $[xxx] + [xxy] = x + 0 = x$.

+	0	x	y	z
0	0	x	y	z
x	x	0	z	y
y	y	z	0	x
z	z	y	x	0

.	0	x	y	z
0	0	0	0	0
x	0	x	0	0
y	0	0	y	0
z	0	0	0	z

Table (ix)

Table (x)

Definition 3.14 An element $x \in N$ is called an *idempotent element* if $[xxx] = x$.
If all the elements in N are idempotents then N is called an *idempotent RTNR*.

Example 3.15 In Example 3.13(ii) N is an idempotent RTNR but N in Example 3.13 (i) is *not* an idempotent RTNR as $[yyy] \neq y$.

Theorem 3.16 Let N be an RTNR. Then

(i) If $x, y \in N$, then $[Nxy]$ is a left N -subgroup. In particular $[Nxx]$ is a left N -subgroup of N .

(ii) If $x \in N$ is distributive then $[xyN]$ is a right N -subgroup of N . In particular, $[xxN]$ is a right N -subgroup of N .

Proof: (i) Let $x, y \in N$ and $[Nxy] = H$. Let $u, v \in H$. Then $u - v = [nxy] - [n'xy] = [(n-n')xy] \in H$. Now If $z \in [NNH]$ then $z = [n n' h] = [n n' [n''x y]] = [[n n' n''] xy] \in H$ as $[n n' n''] \in N$. It is obvious that $[Nxx]$ in particular is a left N -subgroup of N .

(ii) Let $x \in N$ and $[xyN] = H$. Let $u, v \in H$. Then $u - v = [xyn] - [xyn'] = [xy(n-n')] \in H$, as x is distributive. Now if $y \in [HNN]$ then $z = [hnn'] = [[xy n''] n n'] = [xy [n'' n n']] \in H$ as $[n'' n n'] \in N$. Thus $[xyN]$ is a right N -subgroup of N .

It is obvious that $[xxN]$ in particular is a right N -subgroup of N .

4 QUASI-IDEAL

In this section quasi-ideals are defined and in a zero-symmetric RTNR, N -subgroups and ideals are established as quasi-ideals. It is also established that an additive subgroup of N is a quasi-ideal if it is the intersection of right, lateral and left N -subgroups as well as ideals in a zero-symmetric RTNR.

Definition 4.1 A subset Q of an RTNR N is called a *quasi-ideal* of N if the following conditions hold:

(i) $(Q, +)$ is a subgroup of $(N, +)$.

(ii) $[QNN] \cap ([NQN] + [N[NQN]N]) \cap [NNQ] \cap ((N^*Q^*N) + (N^*(N^*Q^*N)^*N) \cap (N^*N^*Q)) \subseteq Q$.

Example 4.2 Let N be as in Example 3.7. Then $\{0, x\}$ is a quasi ideal.

In a zero-symmetric RTNR quasi-ideals are defined as follows.

Definition 4.3 An additive subgroup Q of a zero-symmetric RTNR N is called a *quasi-ideal* of N if $[QNN] \cap ([NQN] + [N[NQN]N]) \cap [NNQ] \subseteq Q$.

Example 4.4 Let N be as in Example 3.2. Then $\{0, x\}$ and $\{0, y\}$ are quasi-ideals of N .

Proposition 4.5 Every quasi-ideal of a zero-symmetric RTNR N is an RTSNR of N .

Proof: As Q is a quasi-ideal of N , $[QNN] \cap ([NQN] + [N[NQN]N]) \cap [NNQ] \subseteq Q$. Now $[QQQ] \subseteq [QNN]$, $[QQQ] = [QQQ] + \{0\} \subseteq [NQN] + [N[NQN]N]$ and $[QQQ] \subseteq [NNQ]$. Hence $[QQQ] \subseteq [QNN] \cap ([NQN] + [N[NQN]N]) \cap [NNQ] \subseteq Q$. Thus Q is an RTSNR of N .

Remark 4.6 The converse of the above proposition is in general not true. For in Example 3.2, $Q = \{0, z\}$ is an RTSNR but is *not* a quasi-ideal of N as $[QNN] \cap ([NQN] + [N[NQN]N]) \cap [NNQ] \not\subseteq Q$.

Lemma 4.7 Let N be a zero-symmetric RTNR. Then

(i) Every right (resp.lateral, left) N -subgroup of N is a quasi-ideal of N .

(ii) Every right (resp.lateral, left) ideal of N is a quasi-ideal of N .

Proof: (i) Let Q be a right N -subgroup of N . Then Q is an additive subgroup of N and $[QNN] \subseteq Q$. Now $[QNN] \cap ([NQN] + [N[NQN]N]) \cap [NNQ] \subseteq [QNN] \subseteq Q$. Thus Q is a quasi-ideal of N . Similarly if Q is a lateral or a left N -subgroup of N then Q is a quasi-ideal of N .

(ii) In a zero-symmetric right ternary near-ring every right, lateral, left ideal is respectively a right, lateral and left N -subgroup of N and hence by (i), every right (resp.lateral, left) ideal of N is a quasi-ideal of N .

Remark 4.8 In general the converse of the above lemma is not true. For in Example 3.2, N is a zero-symmetric RTNR and $\{0, y\}$ is a quasi-ideal of N but is not a right and lateral N -subgroups (ideals) of N .

Lemma 4.9 Let N be a zero-symmetric RTNR. Then if Q is a quasi-ideal of N and T is an RTSNR of N then $Q \cap T$ is a quasi-

ideal of T.

Proof: As $Q \cap T$ is a subgroup of $(N, +)$ and $Q \cap T \subseteq T$, $Q \cap T$ is a subgroup of $(T, +)$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} & [(Q \cap T) T] \cap ([T(Q \cap T) T] + [T[T(Q \cap T) T] T]) \cap [T(T(Q \cap T))] \\ & \subseteq [Q T T] \cap ([T Q T] + [T[T Q T] T]) \cap [T T Q] \\ & \subseteq [Q N N] \cap ([N Q N] + [N[N Q N] N]) \cap [N N Q] \subseteq Q. \end{aligned}$$

Again

$$\begin{aligned} & [(Q \cap T) T] \cap ([T(Q \cap T) T] + [T[T(Q \cap T) T] T]) \cap [T(T(Q \cap T))] \\ & \subseteq [T T T] \cap ([T T T] + [T[T T T] T]) \cap [T T T] \subseteq T. \text{ Therefore} \\ & [Q \cap T] T \cap ([T(Q \cap T) T] + [T[T(Q \cap T) T] T]) \cap [T(T(Q \cap T))] \subseteq Q \cap T. \\ & \text{Thus } Q \cap T \text{ is a quasi-ideal of } T. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.10 Let N be a zero-symmetric RTNR. Then the intersection of two quasi-ideals of N is a quasi-ideal of N.

Proof: Let Q_1 and Q_2 be quasi-ideals of N. Then they are additive subgroups of N and hence $Q_1 \cap Q_2$ is an additive subgroup of N. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & [(Q_1 \cap Q_2) N N] \cap ([N(Q_1 \cap Q_2) N] + [N[N(Q_1 \cap Q_2) N] N]) \\ & \cap [N N(Q_1 \cap Q_2)] \\ & \subseteq [Q_1 N N] \cap ([N Q_1 N] + [N[N Q_1 N] N]) \cap [N N Q_1] \subseteq Q_1. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Again } [(Q_1 \cap Q_2) N N] \cap ([N(Q_1 \cap Q_2) N] + [N[N(Q_1 \cap Q_2) N] N]) \cap \\ & [N N(Q_1 \cap Q_2)] \\ & \subseteq [Q_2 N N] \cap ([N Q_2 N] + [N[N Q_2 N] N]) \cap [N N Q_2] \subseteq Q_2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $[(Q_1 \cap Q_2) N N] \cap ([N(Q_1 \cap Q_2) N] + [N[N(Q_1 \cap Q_2) N] N]) \cap [N N(Q_1 \cap Q_2)] \subseteq Q_1 \cap Q_2$. Thus $Q_1 \cap Q_2$ is a quasi-ideal of N.

Corollary 4.11 The intersection of arbitrary collection of quasi-ideals of a zero-symmetric RTNR N is a quasi-ideal of N.

Lemma 4.12 Let N be a zero-symmetric RTNR. Then

- (i) An additive subgroup of N is a quasi-ideal if it is the intersection of right, lateral and left N-subgroups of N.
- (ii) An additive subgroup of N is a quasi-ideal if it is the intersection of right, lateral and left ideals of N.

Proof: (i) Let Q be an additive subgroup of N. Let J, M and L be right, lateral and left N-subgroups of N respectively. Then by Lemma 4.7(i), J, M and L are quasi-ideals of N. Let $Q = J \cap M \cap L$. Then Q is the intersection quasi-ideals and hence by Corollary 4.11, Q is a quasi-ideal of N.

(ii) In a zero-symmetric right ternary near-ring every right, lateral and left ideal is respectively a right, lateral and left N-subgroup of N and hence by (i), an additive subgroup of N is a quasi-ideal if it is the intersection of right, lateral and left ideal of N.

Proposition 4.14 If x is an idempotent element of an RTNR then $[xxNxx] = [xxN] \cap [Nxx]$.

Proof: If x is an idempotent element of an RTNR then $[xxx] = x$. Now if $u \in [xxNxx]$ then $u = [xxnxx] = [xx[nxx]] \in [xxN]$. Also $u = [xxnxx] = [[xxn]xx] \in [Nxx]$. Hence $u \in [xxN] \cap [Nxx]$.

Suppose $v \in [xxN] \cap [Nxx]$. Then $v = [xxn_1]$ and $v = [n_2xx]$. Consider $[xxvxx] = [xx[xxn_1]xx] = [[xxx][xn_1x]x] = [[xxn_1]xx] = [vxx] = [n_2xx]xx = [n_2[xxx]x] = [n_2xx] = v$. Hence $v \in [xxNxx]$. Thus $[xxNxx] = [xxN] \cap [Nxx]$.

Theorem 4.15 Let N be a zero-symmetric RTNR. Then

(i) $[Nxx]$ is a quasi-ideal of N.

(ii) If x is a distributive element of N then $[xxN]$ is a quasi-ideal of N.

(iii) If x is an idempotent and distributive element of an RTNR then $[xxNxx]$ is a quasi-ideal of N.

Proof: (i) By Theorem 3.16(i), $[Nxx]$ is a left N-subgroup of N. Since every left N-subgroup is a quasi-ideal, $[Nxx]$ is a quasi-ideal of N.

(ii) By Theorem 3.16(ii), $[xxN]$ is a right N-subgroup of N. Since every right N-subgroup is a quasi-ideal, $[xxN]$ is a quasi-ideal of N.

(iii) By Proposition 4.14, $[xxNxx] = [xxN] \cap [Nxx]$ and therefore by (i) and (ii) and Lemma 4.10, $[xxNxx]$ is a quasi-ideal of N.

5 BI-IDEALS

In this section bi-ideals of an RTNR N are defined and some of their basic algebraic properties are studied. It is established that an idempotent bi-ideal of a zero-symmetric RTNR N is a bi-ideal of N.

Definition 5.1 An additive subgroup J of N is called a *bi-ideal* of N if $[J[N]N] \cap J^*(N^*J^*N)^* \subseteq J$.

Example 5.2 Let N be as in Example 4.2 then $\{0, x\}$ is a bi-ideal of N.

In a zero-symmetric RTNR bi-ideals are defined as follows.

Definition 5.3 An additive subgroup J of N is called a *bi-ideal* of N if $[J[N]N] \subseteq J$.

Example 5.4 Let N be as in Example 3.2. Then $\{0, x\}$ is a bi-ideal of N. But $L = \{0, z\}$ is not a bi-ideal as $[LNLNL]$ is N and is not a subset of L.

Lemma 5.5 Let N be a zero-symmetric RTNR. Then every quasi-ideal of N is a bi-ideal of N.

Proof: Let L be a quasi-ideal of N. Then L is a subgroup of N. Now $[L[NLN]L] \subseteq [L[NNN]L] \subseteq [LNL] \subseteq [LNN] \rightarrow (1)$.

Also $[L[NLN]L] = \{0\} + [L[NLN]L] \subseteq [NLN] + [N[NLN]N]$ and $[L[NLN]L] \subseteq [L[NNN]L] \subseteq [LNL] \subseteq [NNL]$.

Thus $[L[NNN]L] \subseteq [LNN] \cap ([NLN] + [N[NLN]N]) \cap [NNL] \subseteq L$, as L is a quasi-ideal of N. Hence L is a bi-ideal of N.

Lemma 5.6 Let N be a zero-symmetric RTNR. Then

(i) Every right (resp. lateral, left) N-subgroup of N is a bi-ideal of N.

(ii) Every right (resp. lateral, left) ideal of N is a bi-ideal of N

Proof: (i) In a zero-symmetric right ternary near-ring by Lemma 4.7(i) every right, lateral and left N-subgroup of N is a quasi-ideal of N. Hence by Lemma 5.5, every right (resp. lateral, left) N-subgroup of N is a bi-ideal of N.

(ii) In a zero-symmetric right ternary near-ring every right, lateral, left ideal is respectively a right, lateral and left N-subgroup of N. By Lemma 4.7(ii), every right (resp. lateral, left) ideal of N is a quasi-ideal of N. Hence by Lemma 5.5, every

right (resp.lateral, left) ideal of N is a bi-ideal of N.

Proposition 5.7 If L is a bi-ideal of a zero –symmetric RTNR N and S is an RTSNR of N then $L \cap S$ is a bi-ideal of S.

Proof: Since L is a bi-ideal and S is an RTSNR L and S are additive subgroups of N and hence an additive subgroup of S also. Now $[(L \cap S) S (L \cap S) S (L \cap S)] \subseteq [LSLSL] \subseteq [LNLNL]$, as L is a bi-ideal of N.

Also $[(L \cap S) S (L \cap S) S (L \cap S)] \subseteq [S[SSS]S] \subseteq [SSS]$, as S is an RTSNR of N.

Thus $[(L \cap S) S (L \cap S) S (L \cap S)] \subseteq L \cap S$.

Hence $L \cap S$ is a bi-ideal of S.

Theorem 5.8 Let N be a zero-symmetric RTNR. Then an idempotent bi-ideal of a bi-ideal of N is a bi-ideal of N.

Proof: Let J be an idempotent bi-ideal of a bi-ideal L of N. Then $[JJJ] = J \rightarrow (1)$, $[J[LJL]] \subseteq J \rightarrow (2)$ and $[L[NLN]L] \subseteq L \rightarrow (3)$.

Now $[J[NJN]N] = [[JJJ] [NJN] [JJJ]] = [JJJ [NJN]JJJ]$

$\subseteq [JJJ[L[NLN]L]JJJ] \subseteq [JJJJJ]$

$= [JJJ] [JJJ] \subseteq [JJ[LJL]JJJ]$

$\subseteq [JJJ] = J$. Hence J is a bi-ideal of N.

Proposition 5.9 Let N be a zero-symmetric RTNR. If K is an RTSNR of N and J, M, L are right, lateral, left N-subgroups of N such that $[JML] \subseteq K \subseteq J \cap M \cap L$ then K is a bi-ideal of N.

Proof: Let J, M, L are right, lateral, left N-subgroups of N respectively, such that

$[JML] \subseteq K \subseteq J \cap M \cap L$. Now, $[K[NKN]K] \subseteq [(J \cap M \cap L)N(J \cap M \cap L)N(J \cap M \cap L)] \subseteq [J[NML]L] \subseteq [JML] \subseteq K$. Hence K is a bi-ideal of N.

Theorem 5.10 Let N be a zero-symmetric RTNR. Then

(i) If $x, y \in N$ and J is a bi-ideal then $[Jxy]$ is a bi-ideal of N

(ii) If x is a distributive element of N then $[xyJ]$ is a bi-ideal of N. In particular, $[Jxx]$ and $[xxJ]$ are bi-ideals of N.

Proof: (i) Let J be a bi-ideal of N. Then $[JNJN] \subseteq J$. If $u, v \in [Jxy]$ then $u = [sxy]$ and $v = [txy]$. Now $u \cdot v = [sxy][txy] = [s-txy] \in [Jxy]$. Also $[[Jxy]N[Jxy]N[Jxy]] = [[xyN]J[xyN]Jxy] \subseteq [[JNJN]xy] \subseteq [Jxy]$. Thus $[Jxy]$ a bi-ideal of N.

(ii) Let x be a distributive element of N. If $u, v \in [xyJ]$ then $u = [xys]$ and $v = [xyt]$. Now $u \cdot v = [xys][xyt] = [xy(s-t)] \in [xyJ]$ as x is a distributive element.

Also $[[xyJ]N[xyJ]N[xyJ]] \subseteq [xyJ[NJN]J] \subseteq [xyJ]$.

Thus $[xyJ]$ a bi-ideal of N.

It is obvious that $[Jxx]$ and $[xxJ]$ are in particular bi-ideals of N.

Corollary 5.11 Let N be a zero-symmetric RTNR. If $x, y \in N$, if x is a distributive element of N and J is a bi-ideal of N then $[x[y]x]y$ is a bi-ideal of N. In particular, $[xx]xx$ is a bi-ideal of N.

Proof: Let J be a bi-ideal of N. Then $[JNJN] \subseteq J$. If $u, v \in [x[y]x]y$ then $u = [x[y]mx]y$ and $v = [x[y]nx]y$.

Now $u \cdot v = [x[y]mx]y \cdot [x[y]nx]y = [x([y]mx) - [y]nx]y = [x[y](m-n)x]y \in [x[y]x]y$. Now $[[x[y]x]yN[x[y]x]yN[x[y]x]y] \subseteq [[xyJ][x[y]Nxy]J][x[y]Nxy]J [xyJ]] \subseteq [[xyJ][N]N] [xyJ]] \subseteq [x[y]J[NJN]J]xy] \subseteq [x[y]x]y$. Thus $[x[y]x]y$ is a bi-ideal of N.

It is obvious that $[xx]xx$ in particular is a bi-ideal of N.

6 REGULAR RTNR

In this section a regular RTNR is defined and its properties are studied. It is established that in a regular zero-symmetric RTNR, a bi-ideal is a right ternary subnear-ring. Moreover left regular zero – symmetric RTNR are characterized in terms of completely semi-prime left N-subgroups. A characterization theorem for a regular, idempotent and distributive RTNR is also given. Every intra-regular RTNR is proved as a lateral trio-RTNR.

Throughout this section N is taken as a zero-symmetric RTNR.

Definition 6.1 An RTNR is *regular* if for every $x \in N$ there exists $u \in N$ such that $x = [xux]$.

Note 6.2 A regular element $x \in N$ may equivalently be defined as $x = [xuxvx]$, for some $u, v \in N$

Example 6.3 (i) Let N be as in example 3.13(ii). Then $(N, +, [])$ is a regular right ternary near-ring.

(ii) Let N be as in example 3.13(i). Then $(N, +, [])$ is *not* a regular right ternary near-ring for, $y \in N$ cannot be written as $[y0y]$ or $[yxy]$ or $[yyy]$ or $[yzy]$.

Note 6.4 If N is regular then it is obvious that $[NNN] = N$.

Lemma 6.5: Let N be a regular RTNR. Then

(i) Every lateral N- subgroup L of N is a regular RTSNR of N.

(ii) Every lateral ideal of N is a regular RTSNR of N.

Proof: (i) Let L be a lateral N-subgroup of N then $[NLN] \subseteq L$. If $x \in L$ then $x \in N$ and hence by Definition 6.1, there exists $u \in N$ such that $x = [xux]$. Now $x = [xux] = [[xux]ux] = [x [uxu] x] \Rightarrow x = [xvx]$ where $v = [uxu] \in L$. Since $x = [xvx]$ where $v \in L$ it follows that $[LLL] = L$ and hence L is a regular RTSNR.

(ii) In a zero-symmetric RTNR N, every lateral ideal of N is a lateral N-subgroup of N and hence by (i) the proof follows.

Lemma 6.6 If L is an N-subgroup of a regular RTNR N then $[LLL] = L$

Proof: Since L is an N-subgroup $[LLL] \subseteq L$. Now let $x \in L$. Since N is regular $x = [xux]$, for some $u \in N$. Since L is a lateral N-subgroup and $x = [[xux] ux] = [x [uxu] x] \in [LLL]$. Thus $L \subseteq [LLL]$. Hence $[LLL] = L$.

Theorem 6.7 The homomorphic image of a regular RTNR is a regular RTNR.

Proof: Let $\phi: N \rightarrow M$ be an onto RTNR homomorphism. Let N be a regular RTNR. Suppose $y \in M$. Since ϕ is onto there exists $x \in N$ such that $\phi(x) = y \Rightarrow \phi([xux]) = y \Rightarrow [\phi(x) \phi(u) \phi(x)] = y \Rightarrow [y \phi(u)y] = y$. Since $\phi(u) \in M$ this implies that M is a regular RTNR.

Theorem 6.8 If N is a regular RTNR and J, M, L are right, lateral and left N-subgroup of N respectively then $[JML] = J \cap M \cap L$.

Proof: Since $[JML] \subseteq [JNN] \subseteq J$, $[JML] \subseteq [NMN] \subseteq M$, $[JML] \subseteq [NML] \subseteq L$ it follows that $[JML] \subseteq J \cap M \cap L$.

Now let $x \in J \cap M \cap L$. Since N is regular for some $u \in N$, $x = [xux]$. Consider $x = [xux] = [xu[xux]] = [xu[[xux]ux]] = [x[uxu][xux]]$

$= [[xux][uxu][xux]] \in [JML]$ as J, M, L are right, lateral and left N -subgroup of N respectively. This implies that $J \cap M \cap L \subseteq [JML]$. Hence $[JML] = J \cap M \cap L$.

Corollary 6.9 If N is a regular RTNR and J, L are right and left N -subgroups of N respectively then $[JNL] = J \cap L$.

Proof: As J is a right N -subgroup $[JNL] \subseteq [JNN] \subseteq J$ and $[JNL] \subseteq [NNL] \subseteq L$ as L is a left N -subgroup of N . Hence $[JNL] \subseteq J \cap L$. Now let $x \in J \cap L$. Then as N is regular $x = [xux]$ for some $u \in N$. Now $x = [xux] = [[xux] u x] \in [JNL]$. This implies that $J \cap L \subseteq [JNL]$. Hence $[JNL] = J \cap L$.

Remark 6.10 In a zero-symmetric regular RTNR Theorem 6.8 and Corollary 6.9 hold good for ideals also.

Theorem 6.11 In regular RTNR an additive subgroup L of N is a bi-ideal iff $L = [LNL]$.

Proof: Let L be an additive subgroup of an RTNR and $[LNL] = L$. Then $[L [NLN] L] = [LN [LNL]] = [LNL] = L$. Hence L is a bi-ideal of N .

Conversely let $[L [NLN] L] \subseteq L$. Since N is regular, $L \subseteq [LNL]$. Now $[LNL] \subseteq [[LNL] NL] = [L [NLN] L] \subseteq L$. Hence $L = [LNL]$.

Theorem 6.12 In a regular RTNR every bi-ideal is an RTSNR.

Proof: If L is a bi-ideal of N then L is an additive subgroup of N and as N is regular $L = [LNL]$. Now $[LLL] \subseteq [LNL] = L$ and hence L is an RTSNR.

Definition 6.13 An element $x \in N$ is called a *right (resp. left) regular* if there exists $u \in N$ such that $[xxu] = x$ (resp. $[uxx] = x$). If all the elements of N are right (resp. left) regular then N is called a *right (resp. left) regular RTNR*.

Note 6.14 A right (resp. left) regular element may equivalently be defined as $x = [[xxx] uv]$ (resp. $x = [uv xxx]$), for some $u, v \in N$.

Example 6.15 In Example 3.2, 0 and y are both right and left regular elements of N but N is neither a left regular nor a right regular RTNR as $x \neq [0xx]$ or $[xxx]$ or $[yxx]$ or $[zxx]$ and also $x \neq [xx0]$ or $[xxx]$ or $[xyx]$ or $[xxz]$.

The following theorem characterizes a left regular zero-symmetric RTNR in terms of a completely semi-prime left N -subgroup of N .

Theorem 6.16 A zero-symmetric RTNR is left regular if and only if every left N -subgroup of N is a completely semi-prime.

Proof: Let N be a left regular zero-symmetric RTNR and L be any left N -subgroup of N . Let for $x \in N$, $x^3 = [xxx] \in L$. Since N is left regular there exists $u \in N$ such that $x = [uxx]$. Now $x = [u [uxx] x] = [u u [xxx]] \in [NNL] \subseteq L$, as L is a left N -subgroup of N . Thus L is a completely semi-prime ideal of N .

Conversely let every left N -subgroup of N be completely semi-prime. Since for any $x \in N$ by Proposition 3.16, $[Nxx]$ is a left N -subgroup it follows that $[Nxx]$ is completely semi-prime. Now $x^3 = [xxx] \in [Nxx]$. Since $[Nxx]$ is completely semi-prime $x \in [Nxx]$ and hence $x = [uxx]$, for some $u \in N$. Since x is arbitrary it follows that N is left regular.

Remark 6.17 (i) If N is a distributive right regular zero-symmetric RTNR then it can be characterized in terms of right N -subgroups.

(ii) If N is an idempotent, distributive RTNR then $[Nxx]$ and $[xxN]$ are written as $\langle x \rangle_1$ and $\langle x \rangle_r$ respectively.

The following is a characterization theorem for regular, idempotent and distributive RTNR.

Theorem 6.18 If N is an idempotent, distributive RTNR then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) N is regular.

(ii) $R \cap L = [RNL]$ for every right N -subgroup R and every left N -subgroup L of N .

(iii) $\langle x \rangle_r \cap \langle y \rangle_1 = [\langle x \rangle_r N \langle y \rangle_1]$ for every $x, y \in N$.

(iv) $\langle x \rangle_r \cap \langle x \rangle_l = [\langle x \rangle_r N \langle x \rangle_l]$ for every $x \in N$.

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii): By Corollary 6.8, if N is a regular RTNR and R, L are right and left N -subgroups of N respectively then $[RNL] = R \cap L$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): As $\langle x \rangle_r$ and $\langle y \rangle_1$ are left N -subgroup and right N -subgroups of N respectively, $\langle x \rangle_r \cap \langle y \rangle_1 = [\langle x \rangle_r N \langle y \rangle_1]$ for every $x, y \in N$ follows by (ii).

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv): By taking y as x in (iii), $\langle x \rangle_r \cap \langle x \rangle_l = [\langle x \rangle_r N \langle x \rangle_l]$ for every $x \in N$.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i): Suppose (iv) holds. Since $x \in \langle x \rangle_r \cap \langle x \rangle_l = [\langle x \rangle_r N \langle x \rangle_l]$ and $[\langle x \rangle_r N \langle x \rangle_l] = [[xxN]N[Nxx]] = [xx[NNN]xx] \subseteq [x[xNx]x] \subseteq [xNx]$, $x \in [xNx]$ which implies that $x = [xux]$ for some $u \in N$. Hence N is regular.

Theorem 6.19 In a regular RTNR N , the following statements hold.

(i) $J = [JNJ]$ for every bi-ideal J of N ,

(ii) $Q = [QNQ]$ for every quasi-ideal Q of N .

Proof: Suppose N is a regular RTNR and let $x \in J$. Then there exists $u \in N$ such that $x = [xux] \Rightarrow x \in [JNJ] \Rightarrow J \subseteq [JNJ]$.

Now let $y \in [JNJ]$ then $y = [jnj]$ for some $j, j' \in J$ and $n \in N$.

Since N is regular $j = [j tj]$ for some $t \in N$. Thus $y = [jnj] = [[j tj] n j] \in [JNJNJ] \subseteq J$, which implies $[JNJ] \subseteq J$. Hence $[JNJ] = J$.

(ii) Since every quasi-ideal of an RTNR N is a bi-ideal, by (i), $Q = [QNQ]$ for every quasi-ideal Q of N .

Definition 6.20. An RTNR N is called *intra-regular* if for each element $x \in N$, there exists elements $u, v \in N$ such that $[u xxx] v = x$.

Example 6.21 (i) Let N be as in Example 3.13(ii). Then $(N, +, [])$ is an intra-regular RTNR.

(ii) Let N be as in Example 3.13(i). Then $(N, +, [])$ is *not* an intra-regular RTNR. For $y \in N$ cannot be written as $[0y^30]$ or $[0y^3x]$ or $[0y^3y]$ or $[0y^3z]$ or $[xy^30]$ or $[xy^3x]$ or $[xy^3y]$ or $[xy^3z]$ or $[yy^30]$ or $[yy^3x]$ or $[yy^3y]$ or $[yy^3z]$ or $[zy^30]$ or $[zy^3x]$ or $[zy^3y]$ or $[zy^3z]$.

Lemma 6.22 If N is an intra-regular RTNR then for any left N -subgroup L , lateral N -subgroup M and right N -subgroup J of S , $L \cap M \cap J = [LMJ]$.

Proof: Suppose that N is an intra-regular RTNR. Let J, M and L be a right N -subgroup, a lateral N -subgroup and a left N -

subgroup of N respectively.

Since $[JML] \subseteq [JNN] \subseteq J$, $[JML] \subseteq [NMN] \subseteq M$,
 $[JML] \subseteq [NNL] \subseteq L$, it follows that $[JML] \subseteq J \cap M \cap L$.

Now for $x \in J \cap M \cap L$, we have $x = [ux^3v]$, for some $u, v \in N$. This implies that $x = [ux^3v] = [u [ux^3v][ux^3v][ux^3v]v] = [[uux^3] [vux^3vu][x^3vv]] \in [LMJ]$. Thus $J \cap M \cap L \subseteq [LMJ]$.

In the following theorem it is established that every intra-regular RTNR is a lateral trio-RTNR.

Theorem 6.23. Let N be an intra-regular RTNR. Then

(i) A non-empty subset M of N is an N -subgroup of N if and only if M is a lateral N -subgroup of N .

(ii) A non-empty subset M of N is an ideal of N if and only if M is a lateral ideal of N .

Proof: (i) It is obvious that if M is an N -subgroup of N then M is a lateral N -subgroup of N .

Conversely, let M be a lateral N -subgroup of an intra-regular RTNR. Let $z \in M$ and $x, y \in N$. Then $z \in N$ and hence there exists elements $u, v \in N$ such that $z = [uz^3v]$.

Now $[xyz] = [xy [uz^3v]] = [[xyu] z^3v] \in [NMN] \subseteq M$.

Also $[zxy] = [[uz^3v] xy] = [uz^3 [vxy]] \in [NMN] \subseteq M$.

Thus M is an N -subgroup of N .

(ii) In a zero-symmetric RTNR N , every lateral ideal of N is a lateral N -subgroup of N and hence by (i) the proof follows.

Lemma 6.24 Let N be an intra-regular RTNR. Then

(i) Every lateral N -subgroup L of N is an intra-regular RTSNR of N .

(ii) Every lateral ideal of N is an intra-regular RTSNR of N .

Proof: (i) Let L be a lateral N -subgroup of N then $[NLN] \subseteq L$. Let $x \in L$. Then $x \in N$. By Definition 6.20, there exists $u, v \in N$ such that $x = [ux^3v]$. Now $x = [ux^3v] = [u[ux^3v][ux^3v][ux^3v]v] = [[uux^3vu]x^3[vux^3vv]] \Rightarrow x = [u'x^3v']$ where $u' = [uux^3vu]$, $v' = [vux^3vv] \in L$. Since $x = [u'x^3v']$, $u', v' \in L$, L is regular which in turn implies that $[LLL] = L$ and hence L is a regular RTSNR.

(ii) In a zero-symmetric RTNR N , every lateral ideal of N is a lateral N -subgroup of N and hence by (i) every lateral ideal of N is an intra-regular RTSNR of N .

7 CONCLUSION

In this paper N -subgroups, quasi-ideals, bi-ideals and regular zero-symmetric right ternary near-rings were defined and their basic algebraic properties were proved. In a zero-symmetric right ternary near-ring, N -subgroups as well as ideals were established as quasi-ideals. Bi-ideals were visualized in the generalized context of quasi-ideals. In this work bi-ideals in a regular right ternary near-ring have been realized as right ternary subnear-rings. For future work a similar approach can be explored for right ternary near-rings which are not zero-symmetric.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.C. Beidleman, "A note on regular near-rings," *Journal of Indian Math. Soc.*, vol. 33, pp. 207–210, 1969.
- [2] T.T. Chelvam and N. Ganesan, "On bi-ideals of near-rings," *Indian*

Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 18, pp. 1002–1005, 1987.

- [3] D.H. Lehmer, "A ternary analogue of abelian groups," *Amer. J. of Math.*, vol. 54, pp. 329–338, 1932.
- [4] M.L. Santiago, "Some contributions to the study of ternary semi-groups and semiheaps," PhD dissertation, University of Madras, 1983.
- [5] F.M. Sioson, "Ideal theory in ternary semigroup," *Math. Japonica.*, vol. 10, pp. 63–84, 1965.
- [6] Sukhendu Kar, *Ternary semiring An Introduction*, VDM Verlag Dr. Muller, 2010. ISBN-10: 3639004019, ISBN-13: 978-3639004014.
- [7] Tapan K. Dutta, Sukhendu Kar and Bimal K. Mai, "On Ideals in Regular Ternary semigroups," *Discussiones Mathematicae General Algebra and Applications*, vol. 28, pp. 147–159, 2008.
- [8] A. Uma Maheswari and C. Meera, "On Fuzzy Soft Right Ternary Near-rings," *International Journal of Computer Applications*, (0975 – 8887) vol. 57, no. 6, Nov. 2012.
- [9] A. Uma Maheswari and C. Meera, "Fuzzy Soft Prime Ideals over a Right Ternary Near-ring", *IJPAM*, accepted for publication.
- [10] I. Yakabe, "Regular near-rings without non-zero nilpotent elements," *Proc. Japan Acad.*, vol. 65A, pp. 176–179, 1989.
- [11] Warud Nakkhasen and Bundit Pibaljommee, "L-fuzzy ternary subnear-rings," *International Mathematical Forum*, vol. 7, no. 41, pp. 2045–2059, 2012.